

# A Regenerative Energy and Industrial Future for Taranaki

## A Discussion Document



### **The Strategic Pivot**

Aotearoa's energy system is entering a period of structural change as domestic natural gas supplies decline. While the current policy response focuses on a \$2.7 billion investment in imported LNG, this framing is problematic, risking the creation of stranded assets that expose the nation to global price volatility and geopolitical risk. A more resilient alternative is an "active management" approach that redesigns demand and leans into New Zealand's unique geological strengths to build a self-sufficient energy fortress.

### **Prioritizing Essential Use and Regenerative Alternatives**

Gas demand is not fixed; it is a distributed system that can be redesigned. By phasing out non-essential uses—specifically methanol production and synthetic urea, which together consume roughly 35% of national gas—we can extend the life of declining domestic fields. This shift is supported by the rapid advancement of regenerative agricultural practices, which provide a direct pathway to phasing out urea by improving farm systems rather than relying on imported fossil-fuel derivatives. This re-prioritisation ensures that the remaining domestic gas is preserved to maintain critical system flexibility during the transition.

### **The Taranaki Geothermal Advantage**

Taranaki is uniquely positioned to transition from an extraction-based economy to a renewable energy hub. Unlike many nations, Aotearoa possesses a significant geological advantage: a tectonic setting that provides relatively shallow access to high-temperature heat. This makes the region ideal for "Enhanced Geothermal Systems" (EGS), which use advanced drilling—borrowed from the oil and gas industry—to create 24/7 "firm" baseload power that does not depend on weather conditions. Transitioning Taranaki's highly skilled drilling workforce into this sector ensures that specialised talent is retained locally to lead a "ground-up" energy revolution.

### **Circular Partnerships and Google's Potential Role**

A regenerative future is built on circular industrial systems in which waste heat and by-products from one process become inputs for another. In this model, data centres act as "thermal anchors." Rather than venting heat as a waste product, these facilities can be co-located with industry precincts—such as greenhouses or food processing plants—to provide low-emissions heat recovery.

Google represents a premier potential partner for this vision. As of early 2026, Google has moved from pilots to commercial-scale deployment of next-generation geothermal through its [landmark partnership with Fervo Energy](#). In Nevada, they utilized a "Clean Transition Tariff" (CTT) model, where a corporate buyer absorbs technology risk to provide financial certainty for utilities to build new clean energy assets. A similar partnership in Taranaki could catalyse:

- **Advanced Subsurface Innovation:** Applying Google's AI-driven drilling analytics to Aotearoa's unique geology to double geothermal use by 2040.
- **24/7 Carbon-Free Energy:** Aligning with Google's goal to operate entirely on carbon-free energy by 2030, using geothermal's 90%+ availability to support both digital infrastructure and local grid stability.
- **Industrial Symbiosis:** Co-locating data centres with geothermal plants to pipe waste heat directly into local Taranaki industries, replacing gas use for process heat.

**Conclusion** The transition away from gas is an energy decision and a climate decision of national significance. By rejecting the debt-heavy path of LNG and instead embracing the circularity of Taranaki's geothermal and human resources, Aotearoa can design a system that is local, renewable, and profoundly resilient.

### Strategic Comparison: LNG Importation vs. Regenerative Transition

| Feature                         | LNG Terminal Approach   | Regenerative Transition Concept  |
|---------------------------------|---|--|
| <b>Financial Impact</b>         | Estimated <b>\$2.7 billion</b> in new national debt for infrastructure.         | Phased investment in local renewable assets and system flexibility.                      |
| <b>Energy Sovereignty</b>       | Increases dependence on <b>imported gas</b> and volatile international markets. | Strengthens <b>local resilience</b> by utilising domestic renewable advantages.          |
| <b>Emissions Commitments</b>    | Increases lifecycle emissions due to processing, shipping, and methane leakage. | A reduction of 100 PJ of gas use avoids <b>5-6 million tonnes</b> of CO2-e per year.     |
| <b>Workforce Sustainability</b> | Manages a slow decline of fossil roles without a long-term local successor.     | Pivots oil and gas workers to <b>advanced geothermal drilling</b> and circular industry. |
| <b>Agricultural Integration</b> | Maintains dependence on gas-intensive synthetic urea production.                | Transitions to <b>regenerative farming</b> and improved practices to phase out urea.     |
| <b>Industrial Synergy</b>       | Focuses on a single-point fuel replacement with no "waste" recovery.            | Uses <b>circular co-location</b> (e.g., data centre heat used for food processing).      |
| <b>Asset Risk</b>               | High risk of <b>stranded assets</b> as global and local gas demand declines.    | Builds <b>modular, future-proof</b> infrastructure like smart grids and geothermal.      |
| <b>System Flexibility</b>       | Relies on imported fossil fuels for "dry-year" electricity backup.              | Uses <b>solar, wind, and hydro optimisation</b> combined with demand response.           |

## Discussion Summary

The comparison highlights a choice between **continuing fossil fuel dependence** through an expensive, imported resource or **actively managing a redesign** of Aotearoa's energy system. The regenerative path does not just solve a gas shortage; it builds a more equitable and resilient system by sharing the benefits of lower-cost renewable generation across households and industry.

**Discussion Question:** Should we explore a formal case study comparing the \$2.7 billion cost of the LNG terminal against a phased investment in a Taranaki "Clean Transition" geothermal hub?

Peter Bruce-Iri

[peter@northlandclimatechange.org](mailto:peter@northlandclimatechange.org)